UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/842,313	04/24/2001	Kevin D. Weller	VISAP064/P-11700USD1	5667
75458 Beyer Law Gro	7590 09/10/200 up LLP/Visa	EXAMINER		
P.O. BOX 1687	7	WORJLOH, JALATEE		
Cupertino, CA 95015-1687			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3685	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			09/10/2009	ELECTRONIC

## Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

USPTOmail@beyerlaw.com

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Interview Summary	09/842,313	WELLER ET AL.				
merview cummary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Jalatee Worjloh	3685				
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):						
(1) <u>Jalatee Worjloh</u> .	(3)					
(2) <u>Jon Scott</u> .	(4)					
Date of Interview: <u>04 September 2009</u> .						
Type: a)⊠ Telephonic b)□ Video Conference c)□ Personal [copy given to: 1)□ applicant 2)□ applicant's representative]						
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes e) No. If Yes, brief description:						
Claim(s) discussed: 7 [and 43 from previous claims listing].						
Identification of prior art discussed: <u>Cook and Linehan</u> .						
Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) № N/A.						
Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: <i>Applicants' representative discussed the differences between the present invention and prior art (i.e. no additioanl software is needed in the present invention). Upon receiving an official response, further consideration and/or search would be given.</i>						
(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)						
THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.						
/Jalatee Worjloh/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3685						